Report of the SCH Target Model Task Force

Wendy Ford, Chair (Dean, College of Arts and Sciences)
Meagan Karvonen (College of Education and Allied Professions)
Jerry Kinard (College of Business)
Matthew Liddle (College of Fine and Performing Arts)
Billy Ogletree (College of Health and Human Sciences)
Joseph Pechmann (College of Arts and Sciences)
James Zhang (Kimmel School)

April 30, 2009

Overview

This report documents the efforts of the SCH Target Model Task Force, whose work was completed between October 2008 and April 2009. The work culminates in a proposed model to guide college resource allocation decisions. Sections of the report are as follows:

Introduction	2
Critical Challenges and Recommendations	4
Proposed Model for Guiding College Resource Allocations	7
Tips for Increasing SCH Generation	10
A. Charge to Task Force on SCH Target Model B. Excerpt from UNC Semester Credit Hour Enrollment Change Funding C. CIP Code Classifications D. WCU Enrollment information E. Synthesis of SCH/FTE Rate Data F. Comparative SCH Data for Colleges (Applying Modified Funding Form G. SCH Production Breakdown by College H. Comparative SCH Data for Departments (Applying Modified Funding I. SCH Production Breakdown by Department J. College FTE Earned and Allocated	nula)
K. College Instructional Salary Earned and Allocated	

Introduction

The SCH Target Model Task Force was appointed in October 2008 with the charge of developing a model for establishing SCH targets for departments and colleges (see Appendix A). The Task Force was comprised of faculty members nominated by deans and appointed by the provost to represent each of the academic colleges, as follows:

Task Force Members

Wendy Ford, Chair (Dean, Arts and Sciences).

Meagan Karvonen (Education and Allied Professions)

Jerry Kinard (Business)

Matthew Liddle (Fine and Performing Arts)

Bill Ogletree (Health and Human Sciences)

Joseph Pechmann (Arts and Sciences)

James Zhang (Kimmel School)

The Task Force met regularly over a six-month period. In this time, they studied the institutional funding formula (see Appendices B-C) and reviewed data pertaining to enrollment trends (see Appendix D) and instructional allocations (see Appendices E-I) across Academic Affairs. They also consulted with the following campus administrators to learn about issues relevant to SCH production across colleges and academic functions, and in relation to the institutional funding model:

Academic Administrators Consulted

Pat Brown, Dean, Educational Outreach

Dave Butcher, Associate Dean, College of Arts and Sciences (representing sciences)

Kyle Carter, Provost and Vice Chancellor, Academic Affairs

Michael Dougherty, Dean, College of Education and Allied Professions

Scott Higgins, Dean, Graduate School and Research

Ronald Johnson, Dean, College of Business

Robert Kehrberg, Dean, College of Fine and Performing Arts

Robert McMahan, Dean, Kimmel School

David Onder, Institutional Planning and Effectiveness

Brian Railsback, Dean, Honors College

Linda Stanford, Dean, College of Health and Human Sciences

Wendy Ford, Dean, College of Arts and Sciences

Melissa Wargo, Director, Institutional Planning and Effectiveness

Chuck Wooten, Vice Chancellor, Finance and Administration

Over the course of the study, the Task Force refined its vision, determining that the model should:

1. Generate targets that support growth across the institution;

- 2. Inform decisions about the allocation of resources across programs, especially potential needs to shift existing resources and allocate new resources in response to changing demands;
- 3. Help the institution correct course following a pattern of over-projections and make more accurate projections in future years; and
- 4. Provide guidance to unit heads on maximizing SCH production with consideration of programmatic priorities and identified needs.

The Task Force intended to develop a model that would promote proactive decision-making at the university, college/school, and department levels. Applying the model proactively would allow us to operate in a more systematic manner and be better prepared to handle an array of fiscal conditions. The Task Force also recognized that a target model would need to be applied within the broader context of institutional mission and priorities, and not in a rigid or arbitrary manner.

Critical Challenges and Recommendations

In considering institutional policies and practices in the context of university funding and enrollment patterns, the Task Force identified 11 critical challenges and specific recommendations for responding to these challenges in the development of a target model. The challenges were discussed with the provost before the Task Force completed the process of model development. All of the Task Force's recommended responses to the challenges were supported in principle by the provost.

Challenge 1: There is presently no distinction between SCH (or FTE) generated by instructor type (full-time, part-time, GTA). However, budgets are separated by instructor type and unit heads historically have not had authority to shift funds across instructor types.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Establish single SCH (or FTE) generation target across instructor types, allowing units to earn a total pool of instructional resources, and give unit heads authority to shift resources across instructional types within defined parameters.

Challenge 2: Presently, the campus considers resident and distance SCH (or FTE) separately. However, units must spread instructional assignments to meet the needs of target audiences in both contexts. Only in this way are they able to count faculty contributions in both contexts as part of load.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Establish single SCH (or FTE) target across instructional contexts, allowing units to earn a total pool of instructional resources, and give flexibility to shift resources across instructional contexts within defined parameters.

Challenge 3: Presently, units do not have flexibility to apply lapsed salaries toward increasing instructional capacity and SCH (or FTE) generation, as is the norm at other UNC institutions. However, units' SCH (or FTE) expectations are based on initial salary allocations, rather than actual allowed salary expenditures.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Allow units to apply lapsed salaries toward temporary instructional positions and support.

Challenge 4: The current university workload policy mistakenly applies the 2007 funding formula to all SCH (or FTE) but the funding formula only pertains to SCH (or FTE) growth since 2007, and prior versions of the funding formula only pertain to SCH (or FTE) growth over the established 1999 baseline level. Importantly, the 2007 formula alone cannot account for approximately 30 additional positions earned at baseline or prior formula levels.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Develop a modified formula for internal purposes based on the 2007 funding formula plus a 5.5% modification index. The modified formula will bridge the gap in pre- and post-1999 and 2007 rates. (The modification index should be reviewed periodically, perhaps every other year.)

Challenge 5: Faculty FTE may need to be higher in some units than generated per the funding formula.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Allocate faculty FTE to deans in accordance with the modified funding formula with *adjustments* to ensure adequate support for faculty-intensive programs. Also maintain pool of surplus faculty FTE at provost level for discretionary allocation.

Challenge 6: Faculty salary may need to be higher in some units than average salary applied in funding formula.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Allocate salary to deans in accordance with the modified funding formula with *adjustments* to ensure adequate support for high-salary disciplines. Also maintain pool of surplus salary funds at provost level for discretionary allocation.

Challenge 7: Previous allocations of faculty FTE and salary have been made without any clear connection to the funding formula by which instructional resources are generated. At this point, some units are so far underfunded and others so far overfunded that changes in accordance with a new SCH (or FTE) target model could be fairly drastic.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Implement new SCH (or FTE) target model now, but enact allocation changes for colleges deemed under/overfunded incrementally over time.

Challenge 8: Program enrollment trends in specific disciplines can shift dramatically over a few years, but investments in faculty lines cannot be shifted as quickly.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Base allocations on 3-year rolling SCH (or FTE) averages to provide some stability in faculty positions while allowing for changes over time. Give consideration to unique program circumstances, such as new program development. Also encourage programs to respond to emerging trends by initially investing in temporary faculty lines until the market stabilizes.

Challenge 9: Currently, units do not receive increased academic support corresponding with increased faculty FTE per the funding formula, as is the norm at other UNC institutions.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Allocate a minimum of 50% of earned academic support (minus fringe benefits) to deans in accordance with the modified funding formula while retaining the remainder for provost discretionary allocation and centralized academic support.

Challenge 10: Approximately 8% of current instructional FTE and 5% of current instructional salaries are assigned for purposes other than direct instruction—that is, other than regular faculty, part-time faculty, distance education, or graduate assistants.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Where possible, shift non-instructional positions and support to other funding sources. Also consider *adjusting* internal SCH (or FTE) targets upward to generate additional FTE and salaries that may be retained for important instructional support functions.

Challenge 11: The funding formula is based on enrollment projections, rather than actual enrollments.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Make conservative projections and base allocations on actual enrollments, which are the best predictor of conservative projections. Also ensure that projections are made in close consultation with Academic Affairs, which should inform the process by addressing emerging curricular issues with significant implications for enrollment shifts, such as new program development, program deletions, changes in curriculum requirements, changes in liberal studies, changes in accreditation requirements, and/or changes in academic policies.

Proposed Model for Guiding College Resource Allocations

Target Ratios of FTE Earned/Allocated

- 1. Propose that each college be assigned a target ratio of FTE earned/allocated. Provost decisions about adjustments to future FTE allocations will be based on college performance relative to target ratio.
- 2. <u>FTE "earned"</u> would reflect a 3-year rolling average of FTE generated in each college per the 2007 funding formula, but applying the following adjustments for internal implementation purposes:
 - a. 09-10 implementation would reflect a 2-year average, given the challenges of incorporating initial Banner data from 3 years ago.
 - b. 2007 funding formula (12-cell matrix) is depicted below as an expanded 16-cell matrix for internal purposes. The 16-cell matrix accounts for the 10% undergraduate cost factor (credit for undergraduate resident courses) awarded to the institution.

16-Cell Matrix for SCH/FTE: Applies undergraduate cost factor for resident courses to original 12-cell matrix* UG (Res) UG (DE) Masters Doctoral . 644.22 708.64 169.52 115.56 487.04 535.74 303.93 110.16 Ш 369.31 109.86 406.24 186.23 IV 211.14 232.25 90.17 80.91 *Modification Index will be applied to all FTE earned. Initial MI is proposed as 5.5% credit.

- c. Modification Index (MI) will be applied to the total FTE earned per the 2007 funding formula. MI represents a factor of an appropriate percentage to bridge the gap in pre- and post-1999 and 2007 funding formulas. This would enable the university to account for all positions legitimately earned to date at 1999 baseline or pre-2007 levels. The proposed initial MI provides a 5.5% credit, but the MI should be revisited annually. As the university grows, the MI should shrink.
- 3. <u>FTE "allocated"</u> would reflect the current year allocation of FTE lines to each college.
- 4. Baseline target ratio of FTE earned/allocated would be 1/1. Adjustments to baseline may result in targets that are lower or higher than 1/1, but overall must balance to equal budgeted instructional costs. These adjustments may give special consideration to such factors as the following:
 - a. program costs insufficiently accounted for in the funding formula must ensure balance between high-cost and low-cost programs

- b. expectation for higher/lower student-faculty ratio per accreditation standards, disciplinary standards, or institutional standards (e.g., liberal studies, honors, graduate, distance) must ensure balance in higher/lower student-faculty ratios
- c. planning for new program implementation or existing program phase-out
- d. expectation for non-instructional (non-SCH-generating) service —may identify non-instructional funding sources for some services, where appropriate
- e. incremental implementation of new targets requiring significant changes in resource allocations over time
- f. provost reserve for addressing emergent instructional needs
- 5. Current ratios of FTE earned/allocated in colleges, based on one-year "earned" data from 07-08 and "allocated" data from 08-09, are reported in Appendix J.
- 6. Target ratios of FTE earned/allocated may be implemented incrementally over time for programs that are significantly under/overfunded.
- 7. WCU's future enrollment projections to GA should be made in close consultation with Academic Affairs to ensure sensitivity to emerging curricular issues with significant implications for enrollment shifts, such as new program development or deletions, as well as changes in curriculum requirements, accreditation standards, general education, and/or academic policies.

Salary Allocations

- 1. Propose that each college be assigned a salary pool associated with FTE allocations.
- 2. FTE and salary allocations to each college would be granted as a total pool of instructional resources which the dean may apply across instructor types (tenured/tenure-track, fixed-term, part-time, GTA) and instructional contexts (resident, distance).
- 3. Baseline salary allocations would match the levels awarded by General Administration. For instance, the level assigned in 08-09 was \$73,983 per FTE. Adjustments to baseline may give special consideration to such factors as the following:
 - a. market-based salaries relative to disciplines, beyond what are accounted for in the funding formula (16-cell matrix)
 - b. distance from 80th percentile in disciplines (per CIP codes)
 - c. relative composition of lower or higher ranked or endowed faculty
 - d. over-reliance on temporary faculty (fixed-term, part-time)

- e. inclusion of GTA appointments with instructional contributions
- f. provost reserve for addressing emergent salary needs
- 5. Current salary earned/allocated in colleges, based on one-year "earned" data from 07-08 and "allocated" data from 08-09, are reported in Appendix K.
- 6. Current average salaries allocated per FTE in colleges, separating tenure-track and fixed-term, are reported in Appendix (not yet available).
- 7. Colleges would have the authority to apply lapsed salaries toward temporary instructional positions and support, thereby responding to student needs and increasing SCH generation. This shift in authority may occur incrementally over time as budget practices shift to reduce reliance on lapsed salaries for non-instructional purposes.

Academic Support Allocations

Colleges would be allocated a minimum of 50% of earned academic support (minus fringe benefits) associated with FTE and salary allocations.

Tips for Increasing SCH Generation

The following tips are suggestions that might be considered for enhancing SCH generation and associated FTE and salary allocations. However, not all tips will be relevant to all programs.

- 1. recruit more students into programs; especially engage in targeted recruiting of full-time students and graduate students, who will generate more SCH more quickly
- 2. encourage students to enroll in more SCH (e.g., encourage full-time undergraduate taking 12 hours to take 15, full-time graduate taking 6 to take 9); this can benefit student by reducing time to graduation as long as number of classes does not exceed capacity to perform well
- 3. establish a philosophy of reaching a "target cap at census," which requires slightly overenrolling classes up until the first day, recognizing that drops tend to exceed adds during the first week so that the "census" number will level out around the cap; for instance, a multi-section class normally enrolling 24 per section might allow 26 up until the first day so that the final "census" number levels out around 24, rather than around 22
- 4. increase offerings of high-demand courses, especially in bottleneck situations
- 5. decrease frequency of offerings of low-demand courses, especially when routinely underenrolled, while ensuring student needs are met; this frees up faculty time for high-demand courses
- 6. reduce frequency of low-enrolled on-line course options while ensuring student needs are met
- 7. do not offer residential sections of on-line classes if they compete against residential sections of traditional classes
- 8. decrease course offerings that compete against each other and result in lower enrollments across courses; for instance, if the department offers 4 elective classes with caps of 30 but enrollments across the classes average 20, the department may reduce offerings to 3 classes; this frees up faculty time to offer more high-demand classes
- 9. increase summer distance education offerings
- 10. increase class size (cap) where pedagogically sound
- 11. minimize or eliminate discrepancies between credit hours and contact hours (especially for labs), if pedagogically sound and consistent with accreditation standards; may even use partial hours, such as 1.5 hours
- 12. recode programs and courses to higher funded CIP codes, where appropriate; this requires curriculum revision process

- 13. increase use of teaching assistants in instruction or instructional assistance to accommodate more students while providing valuable teaching experience and reinforcing knowledge of discipline
- 14. increase full-time graduate assistantships in high-demand programs; if required 9 hours/semester, they will generate graduate SCH resulting in state funding increase that greatly exceeds cost; if contribute to instructional capacity, they will also generate undergraduate SCH, providing even greater state funding increases
- 15. convert part-time funding to graduate assistantships with instructional responsibilities; GA salary is a little higher, but the GA will also contribute to graduate-level SCH
- 16. schedule classes at times that the target student population will find more appealing; ensure that high-demand classes are not squeezed out of choice time frames by low-demand classes that might appeal to target audiences at evening times
- 17. revise curriculum to reduce course requirements for majors, particularly when required courses are not necessary and are routinely low-enrolled; this will reduce total number of courses that must be offered on a regular basis, even when low-enrolled
- 18. convert 4+1 bachelor-master programs to 3+2 bachelor-master programs, if possible, requiring more graduate-level credit (500-level) by fourth year
- 19. reduce unique course offerings that serve few students
- 20. enhance quality of teaching to attract and retain more students in courses
- 21. hold faculty accountable for course enrollments, encouraging them to align elective course options with student needs and interests
- 22. ensure that different forms of faculty work with students require enrollment in courses generating credit hours; this should include independent studies, thesis and dissertation advising, and internship supervision (including in summer)
- 23. provide more or better furnishings in rooms to accommodate more students
- 24. retrofit large rooms, such as auditoriums, to accommodate large classes at least part of the time
- 25. limit enrollment and admissions in expensive programs where cost per student greatly exceeds revenue per student (will make programs more competitive, but caps should be high enough to allow for sufficient critical mass of students)

Appendix A: Charge to Task Force on SCH Target Model

<u>Charge</u>: To develop a model for establishing SCH targets for departments and colleges.

Funding Reality:

The overall model must result in college/department targets that produce the budgeted SCH associated with the legislative funding formula. Currently, the institution is producing approximately 10,000 SCH below the amount for which we are budgeted. Ideally, the model would provide a 5% cushion.

Guiding Principles:

- · The model should not set targets, but establish criteria or parameters for target determination for implementation at the dean level.
- · The model should enable oversight of faculty workload at a macro-level, where desired, as long as departments are meeting their SCH targets.
- The model should result in targets that promote growth in SCH production commensurate with standards for generating additional positions of varying CIP codes.
- · The model should result in targets that support reasonable standards of discipline-specific pedagogical practice.
- \cdot The model should ensure support for service courses requiring smaller class sizes, such as courses for Liberal Studies and the Honors College, but expectations for class sizes should be reasonable, given the funding reality.
- · Classroom space availability should support model implementation.
- · The model should be based on "assignable FTE" within the department with clearly established definitions.

Task Force Composition:

The task force should include representation of all academic colleges and provide opportunities for broader input of faculty and administrators.

Appendix B: Excerpt from UNC Semester Credit Hour Enrollment Change Funding Model

The user manual guiding all institutional funding allocations is a 109 page document that will be made available through the provost's office. For this appendix, we have excerpted pages 11-14, which provide an overview of the funding formula for determining allocations to institutions.

Chapter 3 Description of the SCH Enrollment Change Funding Model

Overview of the SCH Funding Formula

The SCH formula for calculating the appropriations request for enrollment change contains five basic components:

- instructional salary costs (see more detail in Chapter 8);
- other academic costs within the academic units (see more detail in **Chapter 9**);
- library (see more detail in Chapter 9);
- general institutional support (GIS) (see more detail in **Chapter 9**); and
- calculation of the resulting expected tuition revenue and state appropriation request (see more detail in **Chapter 10**).

The SCH enrollment change formula is driven by the projected change in student credit hour (SCH) production as classified in a 12-cell funding matrix comprised of 4 areas of instruction and 3 levels of instruction. The areas of instruction are based on differences in the costs to deliver programs in the various disciplines. The specific disciplines included in each of the four instruction areas and how they were determined are detailed in **Chapter 5**.

The three levels of instruction are undergraduate, masters, and doctoral. These three levels are based on differences in the cost of instruction associated with average class size.

The instructional level assignments for student credit hours are based on the level of course instruction rather than the degree level of students receiving it—the former bearing a more direct relationship to cost factors than the latter.

Separate instructional position factors are provided for each of the 12 cells in the matrix and are used to determine the number of instructional positions required to support the projected level of SCHs. These instructional position factors are expressed in terms of the number of student credit hours per instructional position per academic year. The projected change in student credit hours by program category and level are divided by the corresponding instructional position factors to determine the change in instructional positions required. The projected change may be for either an increase or decrease in the number of instructional positions. Refer to **Chapter 6** "Instructional Position Factors" for further details.

At this point in the formula calculations, the basic number of faculty positions required for incremental enrollment growth has been determined. In addition, the Board of Governors has determined that certain special institutional missions and institutional service to special undergraduate populations require funding levels beyond those provided in the basic faculty positions. As a result, undergraduate cost factors have been developed to provide additional funding for four special situations. When applied, these factors result in higher numbers of instructional positions related to enrollment change. See **Chapter 7** for additional detail regarding undergraduate cost factors.

Once the overall number of new instructional positions is determined, this count is multiplied by an annual salary rate specific to each institution (see **Chapter 8**) in order to determine the change in instructional salary dollars required. The average annual salary rate used is the latest available.

The resulting instructional salary amount is then multiplied by a factor for "Other Academic Costs," (see **Chapter 9**) which is designed to provide funds for fringe benefits for the instructional positions, support staff in the academic departments, and related instructional supplies and expenses. The resulting Total Academic Requirements is the base dollar amount to which additional factors for libraries and general institutional support are applied.

The funding requirements for both the library and General Institutional Support (GIS) components (see **Chapter 9**) are then calculated by multiplying the applicable rate by the Total Academic Requirements.

Exhibit 3-1 provides a schematic overview of the SCH enrollment change formula.

EXHIBIT 3-1 SCH Enrollment-Change Funding Model

Regular Term Request

Campus:	UNC-ABC
Campas.	0140 1150

Program	Stu	ident Credit	Hours	SCH pe	er Instructional	Position	Instruct	ional Position	s Required
Category	UG	Masters	Doctoral	UG	Masters	Doctoral	UG	Masters	Doctoral
Category I	4,515	729	0	708.64	169.52	115.56	6.371	4.300	0.000
Category II	6,030	484	8	535.74	303.93	110.16	11.255	1.592	0.073
Category III	2,118	288	0	406.24	186.23	109.86	5.214	1.546	0.000
Category IV	0	0	0	232.25	90.17	80.91	0.000	0.000	0.000
Total	12,663	1,501	8				22.840	7.438	0.073
	Tot	al All SCHs	14,172				Subto	tal Positions	30.351
% of Total	89.4%	10.6%	0.1%			_			

Campus UG Cost Factor	10.0%	2.284
Total Positions Required		32.635
Instructional Salary Rate of Ca	ampus	\$65,322
Instructional Salary Amount		\$2,131,783
Other Academic Costs	44.89%	\$956,957
Total Academic Requirements	3	\$3,088,740
Library Rate	11.48%	
Library Amount		\$354,587
Gen'l Instit. Support Rate	54.05%	
Neg. Adj't Factor:	50.00%	
Gen'l Instit. Support Amount		\$1,669,464

	Total	Requirem	ents	at	UNC-	ABC	\$5,1	12	,791
-	bosonsonnes							unescinoscos:	900000000000000000000000000000000000000

<u>Calculation of Appropriation Request</u> Requirements Generated by SCH Model

ts Generated by SCH Model \$5,112,791

nue: <u>FTE</u> Rate <u>FTE x Rate</u>

Tuition Revenue:	<u>FTE</u>	Rate	FTE x Rate	
In-State U/G FTEs	321	1,821	584,541	
Out-of-State U/G FTEs	97	11,263	1,092,511	
Res per G.S. 116-143.6	10	1,821	18,210	
In-State Grad FTEs	56	1,893	106,008	
Out-of-State Grad FTEs	18	11,476	206,568	
Total FTFs	502			

50000		
T	Total Expected Revenue	2,007,838

Request Amount	\$3,104,953

In general, tuition revenues related to the SCHs being projected will be netted against the requirements (determined above) to yield a request for state appropriation. See **Chapter 10** for a more detailed explanation of revenue projections.

Future adjustments to the factors in the SCH funding model will be reviewed by UNC-GA staff and considered for recommendation to the Board of Governors on a periodic basis.

Partial Credit

In certain situations, partial credit hours for course work (0.5 SCH, 0.1 SCH, etc.) are considered appropriate. In reporting actual SCHs, campuses may award and record SCHs in increments of 0.1 SCH. However, SCHs in the funding model will be rounded at the level of the campus total in each cell in the matrix for both actual and projected SCHs. As a result, incremental changes in SCHs and corresponding funding request are made on whole SCHs.

Funding of New Academic Programs

As new academic programs are approved and implemented at the campuses, the SCH enrollment change model will provide incremental funding for them only as the enrollments are projected to materialize. Any start-up costs of the new academic program must be funded through internal reallocation or in the line-item request for new programs in the expansion budget.

Process for Funding Activities not on the SCH Funding Model

Some specialized instructional units remain on the old FTE-based funding model. That is, programs in medicine (ECU and UNC-CH), dentistry (UNC-CH), pharmacy (UNC-CH), veterinary medicine (NCSU), and law (UNC-CH and NCCU), as well as the UNCSA, will continue with the 1/4 FTE stair-step projections of enrollment change and the pre-1998-99 FTE funding model, which applies only to the regular term and does not encompass receipt-based SCHs generated via distance education instruction.

The aggregations of schools into a Health Affairs budget code at ECU and UNC-CH in the accounting and budgeting financial systems and chart of accounts will not be changed solely as a consequence of the different grouping applied for the enrollment change funding model.

All non-formula expansion items not related to SCH enrollment change funding will continue to be funded according to the traditional mechanisms. That is, funding for any growth in workload in these activities would need to be separately requested in the continuation or expansion budget processes.

Appendix C: CIP Code Classifications

-	ans to what the state of the st	The state of the s	respectational in the process of the second
***	10 T. 1221X 175.	AT THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE PRESENT INSTRUCTIONS AFCES	di haribi wakaza a kanifumu ali wakijiya kuli kanijindi uliki kanifudi kan
ŝ	Program Title	WCU Course Prefix	Funding
88	Communications	CMCR, CMEM, CMHC, CMPM, CMPR, CMTD	
23	English Language and Literature/Letters	ENGT	
75		35, LC	4 year
27	Mathematics	MATH	
38	Philosophy and Religion	PAR	
42	Psychology	NSA.	entekonomia eta eta eta eta eta eta eta eta eta et
43	Protective Services	10	mit
45	Social Sciences and History	ANTH, GEOG, PSC, SOC	To the second se
54	History	HIST	* 2000
ço.	Education	BK, COUN, CSP, EDAD, EDCD, EDCI, EDEI, EDHE, EDL, EDMG, EDPY, EDRD, EDSE, EDSU, ELMG, SPED	2
16	Foreign Languages and Literatures	CHER, FREN, GER, JPN, RUSS, SPAN	,
91	Home Economics	CDFR, CFS, CTM, FCS, FS. HT	C
30	Mulit/Interdisciplinary Studies	ASI, GERN, USI	7
(L) >=4	Parks, Recreation, Leisure and Pitness Studies	HEAL, PE, PRM, SM	C
\$2	Business Management and Administrative Services	ACCT, BA, ECON, ENT, FIN, HR, IBUS, LAW, MBA, MGT, MKT. PM) (
		444 64444 64 9 14 64 9	reliefektetiske folgene en de fan skriver fan de fan fan de fan fan de fan fan de fan fan fan de fan fan fan d Profes
8	Conservation and Renewable Natural Resources	ES, NRM	3
pend pend	Computer and Information Sciences	CIS, CS	er er
15	Engineering-Related Technologies	CM, BCET, ET, ID, IET, IT, MET, TEL	3
26	Biological Sciences/Life Sciences	BIOL, SCI	. (4)
40	Physical Sciences	AST, CHEM, GEOL, PHYS	3
#	Public Administration and Services	EMGT, PA, SOCW	
20	Visual and Performing Arts	ART, CMTA, DA, IDES, MUS	(4)
يم سر	Health Professions and Related Sciences	ATTR, CSD, CLS, EMC, ENVH, HEAL, HIA, HSCC, MHS, ND, RTH. PT	
ş			ilgad displacion con esculptulori pui en numero de dicentro escripto en igrafi
4	Engineering	ENGR	A.
51	Nursing	NSG	
			A

Appendix D: WCU Enrollment Information

í				Carolina Univer nent Informatio						
	-		Regula	Term Instructi	on					,
Description	2001/02 Budget	2002/03 Budget	2003/04 Budget	2004/05 Budget	2005/06 Budget	2006/07 Budget	2007/08 Budget	2008-09 Budget	2009-10 Budget	2010-11 Budget
Undergrad Cat 1	52,418	52,473	54,103	63,689	76,081	76,110	73,985	71,892	71,124	70,677
Undergrad Cat 2	54,490	54,465	53,699	55,769	44,355	44,371	50,205	50,205	48,871	48,316
Undergrad Cat 3	42,748	42,464	42,096	45,539	64,052	64,077	61,987	61,987	61,786	61,441
Undergrad Cat 4	100,71,70	12,101			3,696	3,698	3,683	3,727	3,399	3,225
Undergrad Total	149,656	149,402	149,898	164,997	188,184	188,256	189,860	187,811	185,180	183,659
Masters Cat 1	2,440	2,965	3,256	2,978	2,813	2,815	2,826	2,826	2,674	2,604
Masters Cat 2	7,188	8,521	9,359	10,372	8,919	8,923	5,694	6,507	3,893	2,930
Masters Cat 3	2,652	3,023	3,243	3,154	4,298	4,300	6,105	6,906	6,658	6,589
Masters Cat 4					423	423	301	736	654	588
Masters Total	12,280	14,509	15,858	16,504	16,453	16,461	14,926	16,975	13,879	12,711
2 1 10 11		46	- Comp	740	707	700		4.4	44	11
Doctoral Cat 1	48	45	57 649	743	797	799	11 831	11 831	983	943
Doctoral Cat 2	471	538	648				22	831 22	983	943
Doctoral Cat 3 Doctoral Cat 4	7	9	12					- 22	6.6	
Doctoral Total	526	592	717	743	797	799	864	864	1,016	976
Institutn Total	162,462	164,503	166,473	182,244	205,434	205,516	205,650	205,650	200.075	197,346
mattati i otali	100,700	107,000								
	2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09		
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual		
Undergrad Cat 1	53,473	55,799	57,932	69,975	71,175	70,112	65,128			
Undergrad Cat 2	51,445	50,078	51,753	40,863	44,180	49,015	49,253			
Undergrad Cat 3	40,623	41,544	48,015	58,043	58,850	57,644	58,770			
Undergrad Cat 4	10,020			3,383	3,532	3,420	3,837			
Undergrad Total	145,541	147,421	157,700	172,264	177,737	180,191	176,988			
		1.29%	6.97%	9.24%	3.18%	1.38%	-1.78%			
Masters Cat 1	2,643	2,613	2,997	2,564	2,673	2,476	2,433			
Masters Cat 2	7,922	8,695	9,093	8,212	8,476	5,619	5,912			
Masters Cat 3	2,633	2,814	2,957	3,843	4,085	5,525	6,789			
Masters Cat 4				386	402	448	860			
Masters Total	13,198	14,122	15,047	15,005	15,636	14,068	15,994			
Wasters Fotor	10,100	7.00%	6.55%	-0.28%	4.21%	-10.03%	13.69%			
Doctoral Cat 1	49	22					23			
Doctoral Cat 2	505	506	525	681	757	764	594			
Doctoral Cat 3	10	3					26			
Doctoral Cat 4										
Doctoral Total	564	531	525	681	757	764	643			
		-5.85%	-1.13%	29.71%	11.16%	0.92%	-15.84%			
Institutn Total	159,303	162,074	173,272	187,950	194,130	195,023	193,625	100		····
Actual more (less)	(3,159)	(2,429)	6,799	5,706	(11,304)	(10,493)	(12,025)			
Headcount - Fall	6,863	7,033	7,561	8,396	8,665	8,861	9,056	9,051		
Growth - HC		170	528	835	269	196	195	(5)		
Growth - %		2.48%	7.51%	11.04%	3.20%	2.26%	2.20%	-0.06%		
Ratio - SCH/HC	23.21	23.04	22.92	22.39	22.40	22.01	21.38	0.00		
Growth - SCH Growth - %		2,771 1.74%	11,198 6.91%	14,678 8.47%	6,180 3.29%	893 0.46%	(1,398) -0.72%			
	0.000					7598	7,452	7,235		
HC - RT Only	6,390 93.11%	6,503 92.46%	6,907 91.35%	7,458 88.83%	7,585 87.54%	85.75%	82.29%	79.94%		
OT as % of Total LIC	33,1170	113	91.33%	551	127	13	-146	-217		
		113	404							
RT as % of Total HC Growth - HC Growth - %		1.77%	6.21%	7.98%	1.70%	0.17%	-1.92%	-2.91%		
	24.93	1.77% 24.92	6.21% 25.09	7.98%	1.70%	25.67	-1.92% 25.98	-2.91%		

				Carolina Unive nent Informatio			Contrar a marifolia Maritain de Carles			
			Dista	nce Education						
Description	2001/02 Budget	2002/03 Budget	2003/04 Budget	2004/05 Budget	2005/06 Budget	2006/07 Budget	2007/08 Budget	2008-09 Budget	2009-10 Budget	2010-11 Budget
Undergrad Cat 1	488	1,350	864	1,151	2,538	1,705	3,312	3,709	4,477	4,924
Undergrad Cat 2 Undergrad Cat 3	696 459	1,350 567	2,406 465	1,919 559	3,511 950	3,410 1,204	3,091 3,152	4,215 3,245	5,549 3,446	6,104 3,791
Undergrad Cat 4	904	367	403	339	930	372	600	1,413	1,741	1,915
Undergrad Total	1,643	3,267	3,735	3,629	6,999	6,691	10,155	12,582	15,213	16,734
Masters Cat 1		1,367	1,479	1,375	105	373	582	549	701	771
Masters Cat 2	1,293		293	1,576	3,972	5,365	6,271	7,012	9,626	10,589
Masters Cat 3 Masters Cat 4				56	185	321	302 598	442 576	690 658	759 724
						233				
Masters Total	1,293	1,367	1,772	3,007	4,262	6,292	7,753	8,579	11,675	12,843
Doctoral Cat 1 Doctoral Cat 2					29	233	306	553	401	441
Doctoral Cat 2					43	233	300	333	*VI	what [
Doctoral Cat 4					.,					
Doctoral Total	•		-	-	29	233	306	553	401	441
Institutn Total	2,936	4,634	5,507	6,636	11,290	13,216	18,214	21,714	27,289	30,018
	2001/02 Actual	2002/03 Actual	2003/04 Actual	2004/05 Actual	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Actual	2007/08 Actual	2008-09 Actual		~~~~~
Undergrad Cat 1	1,041	864	1,560	2,208	2,910	3,092	3,395	notual		
Undergrad Cat 2	453	1,498	2,082	3,018	2,674	3,328	4,564			
Undergrad Cat 3	561	678	562	861	2,367	2,777	3,308			
Undergrad Cat 4					403	872	1,328			
Undergrad Total	2,055	3,040	4,204	6,087	8,354	10,069	12,595			
Masters Cat 1	117	63	36	87	417	396	744			***************************************
Masters Cat 2 Masters Cat 3	1,219	1,736	2,514 45	3,332 105	6,246	5,575	7,038			
Masters Cat 4		18	43	100	407 247	370 641	492 428			
Masters Total	1,336	1,817	2,595	3,524	7,317	6,982	8,702			
Doctoral Cat 1										
Doctoral Cat 2		30		39	66	374	501			
Doctoral Cat 3 Doctoral Cat 4										
Doctoral Total	NA .	30	-	39	66	374	501			
Institutn Total	3,391	4,887	6,799	9,650	15,737	17,425	21,798			
Actual more (less)	455	253	1,292	3,014	4,447	4,209	3,584			
Headcount - Fall	253	389	501	748	826	1,003	1,217			
Growth - HC Growth - %		136 53.75%	112 28.79%	247 49.30%	78 10.43%	177 21.43%	214 21.34%			
Ratio - SCH/HC	13.40	12.56	13.57	12.90	19.05	17.37	17.91			
Growth - SCH		1,496	1,912	2,851	6,087	1,688	4,373			
Growth - %		44.12%	39.12%	41.93%	63.08%	10.73%	25.10%			
			Western Ca	arolina Univers	ity					
Total Budget Actual	165,398 162,694	169,137 166,961	171,980 180,071	188,880 197,600	216,724 209,867	218,732 212,448	223,864 215,423	227,364	227,364	227,364
Actual (more/less) Actual	(2,704) 98.37%	(2,176) 98.71%	8,091 104.70%	8,720 104.62%	(6,857) 96.84%	(6,284) 97.13%	(8,441) 96.23%	(227,364)		

Appendix E: Synthesis of SCH/FTE Rate Data

Pre-1999 Rate:

156,705 SCH and 370.55 FTE; Avg 422.90 SCH/FTE

Post-1999 Growth Rate (based on projected, not actual, growth): 70,659 SCH and 211.70 FTE; Avg 333.77 SCH/FTE

Post-1999 Growth Rate (based on actual growth): 58,718 SCH and about 190 FTE; Avg 309.04 SCH/FTE

Combined Rate Applied to All Earned Positions: 214,952 SCH and approx. 560 FTE; 383.84 SCH/FTE 215,423 SCH and approx. 560 FTE; 384.68 SCH/FTE

2007 Rate if Applied to Determine All Positions: 214,952 SCH and 530.76 FTE; 404.99 SCH/FTE

2007 SCH/FTE Rate: 405

1999 Baseline SCH/FTE Rate: 423 (1.04% 2007 rate)

Post-1999 Growth SCH/FTE Rate (Actual): 309 (76.30% 2007 rate)

Total SCH/FTE Rate: 384 (94.81% 2007 rate)

*Recommendation: Develop modified formula for internal purposes based on the 2007 funding formula plus a modification index of 5.5%. With the modification index, the modified formula will bridge the gap in pre- and post-1999 and 2007 rates to account for total positions earned.

FTE earned per 2007 rate

FTE added per 5.5% Modification Index

Total FTE per Modified Formula

Actual FTE earned in 07-08

531 FTE
29 FTE
560 FTE

Appendix F: Comparative SCH Data for Colleges (Applying Modified Funding Formula)

College	07-08 SCH Production		Modified 07- Modified 07- 08 FTE 08 Salary Production Production*	% of SCH Production	% of FTE and Salary Production	Modified Avg. SCH/FTE Production	Modified Avg. Class Size if 3-3 Load
Arts and Sciences	80,067	169.97	\$12,574,891	37.2%	30.4%	471.07	26.2
Business	32,577	76.22	\$5,638,985	15.2%	13.6%	427.41	23.7
Education and Allied Professions	42,936	116.01	\$8,582,768	20.0%	20.7%	370.11	20.6
Fine and Performing Arts	15,605	45.7	\$3,381,023	7.3%	8.2%	341.47	19.0
Health and Human Sciences	34,739	123.8	\$9,159,095	16.2%	22.1%	280.61	15.6
Kimmel School	9,028	28.31	\$2,094,459	4.2%	5.1%	318.9	17.7
WCU Overall	214,952**	559.95	\$41,426,781			60 60 60 60	57 65

^{*} Applies <u>08-09</u> average salary of \$73,983 **Actual total SCH is 215,423; 471 are not accounted for in college data

Appendix G: SCH Production Breakdown by College

Arts & Sciences

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>
1	52,218	609	1,277	23
11	3,774	141	14	0
111	20,321	270	1,396	24
IV	0		0	0
anacona,	76,313	1,020	2,687	47

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
-	81.06	0.86	7.53	0.20	
2000	7.75	0.26	0.05	0.00	
111	55.02	0.66	7.50	0.22	
IV	0.00		0.00	0.00	Modified (+5.5%)
	143.83	1.78	15.08	0.42	161.11 169.97

80,067

07-08 Instructional Salary Production (if applied 08-09 average \$73,983)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
I	\$5,997,062	\$63,625	\$557,092	\$14,797	
	\$573,368	\$19,236	\$3,699	\$0	
111	\$4,070,545	\$48,829	\$554,873	\$16,276	
IV	\$0		\$0	\$0	<u>Modified (+5.5%)</u>
Managamenta	\$10,640,975	\$131,690	\$1,115,664	\$31,073	\$11,919,401 \$12,574,891

Overall SCH/FTE Production Ratio: 496.97 Modified SCH/FTE Production Ratio: 471.07

Business

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	Doctoral	
1	0		0	0	
	27,068	1,023	4,486	0	
111	0		0	0	
IV	0		0	0	
eximitar in design	27.068	1.023	4,486	0	

32,577

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
1 5	<i>EE</i> E0	4.04	14.76		
No.	55.58	1.91	14.70		
IV				cali materia manerate de fenta e entre e concepto de cale da sue casa locação como consentamente	<u>Modified (+5.5%)</u>
ampromorem	55.58	1.91	14.76		72.25 76.22

07-08 Instructional Salary Production (if applied 08-09 average \$73,983)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
1	\$0		\$0	\$0	
***************************************	\$4,111,975	\$141,308	\$1,091,989	\$0	
CHANGE CHANGE CONTRACTOR CONTRACT	\$0		\$0	\$0	
IV	\$0		\$0	\$0	<u> Modified (+5.5%)</u>
economica del	\$4,111,975	\$141,308	\$1,091,989	\$0	\$5,345,272 \$5,638,985

Overall SCH/FTE Production Ratio: 450.89 Modified SCH/FTE Production Ratio: 427.41

Education and Allied Professions

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>
	8,085	420	1,870	0
11	17,949	3,397	8,321	1,098
	1,476	161	159	0
IV	0	0	0	0
ninosianutus	27.510	3,978	10,350	1,098

42,936

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
	12.55	0.59	11.03	0.00	
	36.85	6.34	27.38	9.97	¢.
111	4.00	0.40	0.85	0.00	
IV	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<u>Modified (+5.5%)</u>
prostatelestilia	53.40	7.33	39.26	9.97	109.96 116.01

07-08 Instructional Salary Production (if applied 08-09 average \$73,983)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	Doctoral	
1	\$928,487	\$43,650	\$816,032	\$0	
11	\$2,726,274	\$469,052	\$2,025,655	\$737,611	
1000	\$295,932	\$29,593	\$62,886	\$0	
IV	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<u>Modified (+5.5%)</u>
dissolveesti	\$3,950,693	\$542,295	\$2,904,573	\$737,611	\$8,135,171 \$8,582,768

Overall SCH/FTE Production Ratio: 390.47 Modified SCH/FTE Production Ratio: 370.11

Fine and Performing Arts

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	Doctoral
ı	348	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0
111	14,703	0	554	0
IV	0	0	0	0
Microsophia	15,051	0	554	0

15,605

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	Masters	<u>Doctoral</u>
1	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.00
100000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
estatoria estatoria	39.81	0.00	2.97	0.00
IV	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
associalisassa	40.35	0.00	2.97	0.00

Modified (+5.5%) 43.32 45.70

07-08 Instructional Salary Production (if applied 08-09 average \$73,983)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
1	\$39,951	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Ш	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN NAMED IN C	\$2,945,263	\$0	\$219,730	\$0	
IV	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<u>Modified (+5.5%)</u>
merconata	\$2,985,214	\$0	\$219,730	\$0	\$3,204,944 \$3,381,023

Overall SCH/FTE Production Ratio: 360.23 Modified SCH/FTE Production Ratio: 341.47

Health and Human Sciences

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>
ł	4,468	2,360	12	0
-	0	0	159	0
111	15,228	1,975	4,569	2
IV	3,353	1,328	1,285	0
Quantina	23,049	5,663	6,025	2

34,739

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>
	6.94	3.33	0.07	0.00
11	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.00
111	41.23	4.86	24.53	0.02
IV	15.88	5.72	14.25	0.00
panacidada	64.05	13.91	39.37	0.02

Modified (+5.5%) 117.35 123.80

07-08 Instructional Salary Production (if applied 08-09 average \$73,983)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
I	\$513,442	\$246,363	\$5,179	\$0	
11	\$0	\$0	\$38,471	\$0	
111	\$3,050,319	\$359,557	\$1,814,803	\$1,480	
IV	\$1,174,850	\$423,183	\$1,054,258	\$0	<u>Modified (+5.5%)</u>
RUNCHUNKEN	\$4,738,611	\$1,029,103	\$2,912,711	\$1,480	\$8,681,905 \$9,159,095

Overall SCH/FTE Production Ratio: 296.03 Modified SCH/FTE Production Ratio: 280.61

Kimmel School

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>
1	0	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	0
1000	7,350	609	585	0
IV	484	0	0	0
***************************************	7.834	609	585	0

9,028

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
ı	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
ements outcome	19.90	1.50	3.14	0.00	
IV	2.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	<u> Modified (+5.5%)</u>
ationspeak	22.19	1.50	3.14	0.00	26.83 28.31

07-08 Instructional Salary Production (if applied 08-09 average \$73,983)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
I	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	\$1,472,262	\$332,924	\$232,307	\$0	
IV	\$169,421	\$0	\$0	\$0	Modified (+5.5%)
alecconomic	\$1,641,683	\$332,924	\$232,307	\$0	\$1,984,964 \$2,094,459

Overall SCH/FTE Production Ratio: 336.49 Modified SCH/FTE Production Ratio: 318.90

Appendix H: Comparative SCH Data for Departments (Applying Modified Funding Formula)

Arts & Sciences						100	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	07-08 SCH	Modified 07. 08 FTE	Modified 07-08 Salary	% of SCH	% of FTE and Salary	Avg. SCH/FTE	Avg. Class Size if 3-3
Department	Production	Production	Production*	Production	Production	Production	Load
Social Sciences							
Anthropology/Sociology	5,030	8.38	\$619,978	6.3%	4.9%	600.24	33.3
Communication	8,861	16.96	\$1,254,752	11.1%	10.0%	522.46	29.0
Political Science/Public Affairs	4,246	9.28	\$686,562	5.3%	5.5%	457.54	25.4
Humanities							
English	14,164	26.62	\$1,969,427	17.7%	15.7%	532.08	29.6
History	8,262	15.05	\$1,113,444	10.3%	%6.8	548.97	30.5
Modern Foreign Languages	3,576	7.75	\$573,368	4.5%	4.6%	461.42	25.6
Philosophy and Religion	3,767	6.16	\$455,735	4.7%	3.6%	611.53	34.0
Sciences/Mathematics							
Biology	6,287	19.60	\$1,450,067	7.9%	11.5%	320.77	17.8
Chemistry/Physics	8,588	25.27	\$1,869,550	10.7%	14.9%	339.85	0. 0.
Geosciences/Natural Resources	5,889	14.96	\$1,106,786	7.4%	%8.8	393.65	21.9
Mathematics/Computer Science	10,753	19.61	\$1,450,807	13.4%	11.5%	548.34	30.5
Other?	281 281	0.70	450,227			17	c u c
	5000	n D	00,470,40			7	7.07

Business							
	07-08 SCH	Modified 07.	Modified 07-08 Salary	of SCH	% of FTE and Salary	Modified Avg. SCH/FTE	Modified Avg. Class Size if 3-3
Department	Production	Production	Production*	Production	Production	Production	Load
Acctg/Finance/Info Sys/Econ	11,857	27.05	\$2,001,240	36.4%	35.5%	438.34	24.4
Bus Adm/Law/Sport (+Hosp/Tour)	8,786	19.46	\$1,439,709	27.0%	25.5%	451.49	25.1
Global Management & Strategy	6,042	15.89	\$1,175,590	18.5%	20.8%	380.24	7.
Sales & Marketing (-Hosp/Tour)	3,420	7.56	\$559,311	10.5%	%6.6	452.38	25.1
Entrepreneurship	2,472	6.26	\$463,134	7.6%	8.2%	394.89	21.9
Business Overall	32,577	76.22	\$5,638,985			427.41	23.7

Education & Allied Professions

		Modified 07.	Modified 07. Modified 07-08		% of FTE	Modiffed Avg.	Modified Avg. Class
Department	07-08 SCH Production	08 FTE Production	Salary Production*	% of SCH Production	and Salary Production	SCH/FTE Production	Size if 3-3 Load
Elementary & Middle Grades Ed	5,949	14.25	\$1,054,258	13.9%	12.3%	417.47	23.2
Educational Leadership & Founds	7,228	29.18	\$2,158,824	16.8%	25.2%	247.70	<u>რ</u> დ
Health, Phys Ed, & Recreation	8,946	19.79	\$1,464,124	20.8%	17.1%	452.05	25.1
Human Services	10,438	27.25	\$2,016,037	24.3%	23.5%	383.05	21.3
Psychology	10,375	25.50	\$1,886,567	24.2%	22.0%	406.86	22.6
Educ & Allied Profs Overall	42,936	116.01	\$8,582,768			370.11	20.6

Fine & Performing Arts

Department	07-08 SCH Production	Modified 07. 08 FTE Production	Modified 07. Modified 07-08 08 FTE Salary Production Production*	% of SCH Production	% of FTE and Salary Production	Modified Avg. SCH/FTE Production	Modified Avg. Class Size if 3-3 Load
Art & Design	7,489	22.43	\$1,659,439	48.0%	49.1%	333.88	18.5
Music	6,152	18.01	\$1,332,434	39.4%	39.4%	341.59	19.0
Stage & Screen	1,964	5.19	\$383,972	12.6%	11.4%	378.42	21.0
Fine & Perf Arts Overall	15,605	45.70	\$3,381,023			341.47	0

Health & Human Sciences

Department	07-08 SCH Production	Modified 07. 08 FTE Production	Modified 07-08 Salary Production*	% of SCH Production	% of FTE and Salary Production	Modified Avg. SCH/FTE Production	Modified Avg. Class Size if 3-3 Load
Criminology & Criminal Justice	7,722	13.22	\$978,055	22.2%	10.7%	584.11	32.5
Communicatn Sciences/Disorders	2,352	10.53	\$779,041	%8.9	8.5%	223.36	12.4
Health Sciences	13,353	39.58	\$2,928,247	38.4%	32.0%	337.37	18.7
Nursing	5,966	37.82	\$2,798,037	17.2%	30.5%	157.75	φ φ
Physical Therapy	1,644	9.32	\$689,522	4.7%	7.5%	176.39	හ <u>.</u> ග
Social Work	3,702	13.35	\$987,673	10.7%	10.8%	277.30	15.4
Health & Hum Sci Overall	34,739	123,80	\$9,159,095			280.61	15.6

Kimmel School

Department	07-08 SCH Production	Modified 07- 07-08 SCH 08 FTE Production Production	Modified 07: Modified 07-08 08 FTE Salary Production Production*	% of SCH Production	% of FTE and Salary Production	Modified Avg. SCH/FTE Production	Modified Avg. Class Size if 3-3 Load
Construction Management	4,957	15.04	\$1,112,704	54.9%	53.1%	329.59	6. 8.
Engineering Technology	4,071	13.27	\$981,754	45.1%	46.9%	306.78	17.0
Kimmel School Overall	9,028	28,33	\$2,094,459			318.90	17.71

Appendix I: SCH Production Breakdown by Department

Arts & Sciences

Anthropology/Sociology

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>		
1	4,860	135	35		0	5,030

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>		Modified (+5.5%)
***	7.54	0.19	0.21	0.00	7.94	8.38
						\$619,978

Communication

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
	7,565	6	0	0	
To the same of the	1,290	0	0	0	
positione	8,855	6	0	0	8,861

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>		
1	11.74	0.85	0.00	0.00		
	3.49	0.00	0.00	0.00		Modified (+5.5%)
000000	15.23	0.85	0.00	0.00	16.08	16.96
						\$1,254,752

Political Science/Public Affairs

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>		
l	3,535	132	15		0	
	0	0	564		0	
200000	3,535	132	579		0	4,246

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>		
1	5.49	0.19	0.09	0.00		
	0.00	0.00	3.03	0.00		Modified (+5.5%)
Notes	5.49	0.19	3.12	0.00	8.80	9.28
						\$686.562

English

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
ı	13,323	78	702	16	
111	30	0	15	0	
60,000	13,353	78	717	16	14,164

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	Doctoral		
1	20.68	0.11	4.14	0.14		
111	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.00		Modified (+5.5%)
ALCOHOL:	20.76	0.11	4.22	0.14	25.23	26.62
						\$1,969,427

History

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
1	7,847	48	306	7	
Ш	54	0	0	0	
*tomies	7,901	48	306	7	8,262

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

ı	<u>UG (Res)</u> 12.18	<u>UG (DE)</u> 0.07	Masters 1.81	Doctoral 0.06		
	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00		Modified (+5.5%)
acopte	12.33	0.07	1.81	0.06	14.27	15.05
						\$1.113.444

Modern Foreign Languages

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
3,564	0	0	0	
12	0	0	0	
3,576	0	0	0 3,57	6

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	Doctoral		
11	7.32	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00		Modified (+5.5%)
Co.M.	7.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.35	7.75
						\$573.368

Philosophy and Religion

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

<u>UG (Res)</u> <u>UG (DE)</u> <u>Masters</u> <u>Doctoral</u> 1 3,575 189 3 0 **3,767**

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

 UG (Res)
 UG (DE)
 Masters
 Doctoral
 Modified (+5.5%)

 I
 5.55
 0.27
 0.02
 0.00
 5.84
 6.16

 \$455,735

Biology

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

 UG (Res)
 UG (DE)
 Masters
 Doctoral

 III
 5,654
 60
 561
 12
 6,287

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

 UG (Res)
 UG (DE)
 Masters
 Doctoral
 Modified (+5.5%)

 III
 15.31
 0.15
 3.01
 0.11
 18.58
 19.60

 \$1,450,067
 \$1,450,067

Chemistry/Physics

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
	0	0	2	0	
111	8,117	210	247	12	
************	8,117	210	249	12	8,588

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>		
П	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00		
	21.98	0.52	1.33	0.11		Modified (+5.5%)
NO SOL	21.98	0.52	1.34	0.11	23.95	25.27
						\$1.869.550

Geosciences and Natural Resources

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
l	1,549	0	0	0	
	4,331	0	9	0	
-	5,880	0	9	0	5,889

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>		
	2.40	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	11.73	0.00	0.05	0.00		Modified (+5.5%)
manife	14.13	0.00	0.05	0.00	14.18	14.96
						\$1,106,786

Mathematics/Computer Science

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
ı	9,683	21	216	0	
	833	0	0	0	
Nomina	10,516	21	216	0	10,753

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>		
1	15.03	0.03	1.27	0.00		
111	2.26	0.00	0.00	0.00		Modified (+5.5%)
-	17.29	0.03	1.27	0.00	18.59	19.61
						\$1,450,807

Business

Accounting, Finance, Information Systems, and Economics

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	Doctoral		
10,576	198	1,083		0	11,857

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>		Modified (+5.5%)
11	21.71	0.37	3.56	0.00	25.64	27.05
						\$2,004,240

Business Administration and Law and Sport Management (+ Hospitality and Tourism)

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

 UG (Res)
 UG (DE)
 Masters
 Doctoral

 II
 8,068
 342
 376
 0
 8,786

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

 UG (Res)
 UG (DE)
 Masters
 Doctoral
 Modified (+5.5%)

 II
 16.57
 0.64
 1.24
 0.00
 18.45
 19.46

 \$1,439,709
 \$1,439,709

Global Management and Strategy

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

 UG (Res)
 UG (DE)
 Masters
 Doctoral

 II
 3,744
 135
 2,163
 0
 6,042

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

 UG (Res)
 UG (DE)
 Masters
 Doctoral
 Modified (+5.5%)

 II
 7.69
 0.25
 7.12
 0.00
 15.06
 15.89

 \$1,175,590
 \$1,175,590
 \$1,175,590
 \$1,175,590
 \$1,175,590

Sales and Marketing (- Hospitality and Tourism)

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

 UG (Res)
 UG (DE)
 Masters
 Doctoral

 II
 3,153
 126
 141
 0
 3,420

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

 UG (Res)
 UG (DE)
 Masters
 Doctoral
 Modified (+5.5%)

 II
 6.47
 0.24
 0.46
 0.00
 7.17
 7.56

 \$559,311

Entrepreneurship

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	Doctoral		
11	1,527	222	723		0	2,472

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>		Modified (+5.5%)
П	3.14	0.41	2.38	0.00	5.93	6.26
						\$463.134

Education and Allied Professions

Elementary and Middle Grades Education

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
11	3,844	591	581	0	
	792	99	42	0	
wassess	4,636	690	623	0	5,949

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	Doctoral		
	7.89	1.10	1.91	0.00		
	2.14	0.24	0.23	0.00		Modified (+5.5%)
4,000.00	10.03	1.34	2.14	0.00	13.51	14.25
						\$1,054,258

Educational Leadership and Foundations

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	<u>UG (Res)</u>	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
11	1,770	57	3,855	1069	
Ш	384	0	93	0	
-	2,154	57	3,948	1,069	7,228

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>		
11	3.63	0.11	12.68	9.70		
111	1.04	0.00	0.50	0.00		Modified (+5.5%)
hidesic	4.67	0.11	13.18	9.70	27.66	29.18
						\$2.158.824

Health, Physical Education and Recreation

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
11	8,166	375	243	0	
	144	0	18	0	
0.000	8.310	375	261	0	8.946

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>		
11	16.77	0.70	0.80	0.00		
111	0.39	0.00	0.10	0.00		Modified (+5.5%)
60600	17.16	0.70	0.90	0.00	18.76	19.79
						\$1,464,124

Human Services

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	Doctoral	
o man	4,169	2,374	3,642	29	
111	156	62	6	0	
NO.	4,325	2,436	3,648	29	10,438

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	Masters	<u>Doctoral</u>		
	8.56	4.43	11.98	0.26		
	0.42	0.15	0.03	0.00		Modified (+5.5%)
	8.98	4.58	12.01	0.26	25.83	27.25
						\$2,016,037

<u>Psychology</u>

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	Doctoral		
I	8,085	420	1,870		0	10,375

UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>		Modified (+5.5%)
12.55	0.59	11.03	0.00	24.17	25.50
					\$1.886.567

Fine and Performing Arts

Art and Design

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

 UG (Res)
 UG (DE)
 Masters
 Doctoral

 III
 6,898
 204
 387
 0
 7,489

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

 UG (Res)
 UG (DE)
 Masters
 Doctoral
 Modified (+5.5%)

 III
 18.68
 0.50
 2.08
 0.00
 21.26
 22.43

 \$1,659,439

Music

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

 UG (Res)
 UG (DE)
 Masters
 Doctoral

 III
 5,944
 47
 161
 0
 6,152

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

 UG (Res)
 UG (DE)
 Masters
 Doctoral
 Modified (+5.5%)

 III
 16.09
 0.12
 0.86
 0.00
 17.07
 18.01

 \$1,332,434
 \$1,332,434
 \$1,332,434
 \$1,332,434

Stage and Screen

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	Doctoral	
ı	348	0	0	0	
	1,559	51	6	0	
nosare	1,907	51	6	0	1,964

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	Doctoral		
1	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.00		
111	4.22	0.13	0.03	0.00		Modified (+5.5%)
- Continue	4.76	0.13	0.03	0.00	4.92	5.19
						\$383.972

Health and Human Sciences

Criminology and Criminal Justice

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
	4,468	2,360	12	0	
111	78	804	0	0	
***************************************	4,546	3,164	12	0	7,722

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>		
	6.94	3.33	0.07	0.00		
	0.21	1.98	0.00	0.00		Modified (+5.5%)
Manage	7.15	5.31	0.07	0.00	12.53	13.22
						\$978,055

Communication Sciences and Disorders

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
960	33	1,359	0	2,352

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>		Modified (+5.5%)
2.60	0.08	7.30	0.00	9.98	10.53
					\$779 041

Health Sciences

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	Doctoral		
11	0	0	159		0	
	11,586	1,036	570		2	
-	11.586	1.036	729		2	13.353

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	Doctoral		
11	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.00		
	31.37	2.55	3.06	0.02		Modified (+5.5%)
-	31.37	2.55	3.58	0.02	37.52	39.58
						\$2.928.247

Nursing

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

<u>UG (Res)</u> IV 3,353 UG (DE) 1,328 Masters 1,285 **Doctoral**

0 5.966

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

<u>UG (Res)</u> IV 15.88 <u>UG (DE)</u> 5.72 Masters 14.25 Doctoral 0.00

35.85

Modified (+5.5%) 37.82

\$2,798,037

Physical Therapy

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

UG (Res)

UG (DE)

0

Masters 1,644 Doctoral

0 1,644

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

<u>UG (Res)</u> III 0.00 UG (DE) 0.00 Masters 8.83 Doctoral 0.00

8.83

Modified (+5.5%)

9.32

\$689,522

Social Work

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

<u>UG (Res)</u> III 2,604 <u>UG (DE)</u> 102 Masters 996

Doctoral

0 3,702

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

<u>UG (Res)</u> III 7.05 UG (DE) 0.25 Masters 5.35 Doctoral 0.00

12.65

Modified (+5.5%) 13.35

\$987,673

Kimmel School

Construction Management

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

 UG (Res)
 UG (DE)
 Masters
 Doctoral

 III
 4,642
 3
 312
 0
 4,957

07-08 FTE Production (with Undergraduate Cost Factor)

 UG (Res)
 UG (DE)
 Masters
 Doctoral
 Modified (+5.5%)

 III
 12.57
 0.01
 1.68
 0.00
 14.26
 15.04

 \$1,112,704
 \$1,200
 \$1,200
 \$1,200
 \$1,200
 \$1,200

Engineering Technology

07-08 SCH Production (Fundable Resident and Distance Credit Hours)

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	
notices notices	2,708	606	273	0	
IV	484	0	0	0	
4	3,192	606	273	0	4,071

	UG (Res)	UG (DE)	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>		
	7.33	1.49	1.47	0.00		
IV	2.29	0.00	0.00	0.00		Modified (+5.5%)
	9.62	1.49	1.47	0.00	12.58	13.27
						\$981.754

Appendix J: College FTE Earned and Allocated*

		08-09 Part-			Ratio FTE
	08-09 Regular time Faculty	time Faculty	08-09 Total	07-08 Earned	Earned /
College	Faculty FTE	L	Allocated FTE	Ш	Allocated
Arts & Sciences	183.56	1.00	184.56	169.97	.92/1
Business	72.58	1.00	73.58	76.22	1.04/1
Education & Allied Professions	90.92	1.00	91.92	116.01	1,26/1
Fine & Performing Arts	57.59	1.00	58.59	45.70	.78/1
Health & Human Sciences	91.96	1.00	92.96	123.80	1.33/1
Kimmel School	33.50	1.00	34.50	28.31	.82/1
Total for Colleges	530.11	00.9	536.11	559.95	1.04/1
Other Assigned			24.69		
Other Unassigned			21.45		
WCU Overall (Total FTE Allocation to WCU in 08-09)	location to WCU	in 08-09)	582.25		

Appendix K: College Instructional Salary Earned and Allocated*

	Direct Allocations	ations	Indirect Allocations**	ations**			
		08-09 Part.	60-80	60-80	08-09 Total		Ratio Salary
	08-09 Regular	Line	Distance	Graduate	Allocated	07-08 Earned	Earned /
College	Faculty	Faculty	Education	Assistants	Salary	Salary	Allocated
Arts & Sciences	\$10,822,465	\$332,000	\$103,415	\$632,702	\$11,890,582	\$12,574,891	1.06/1
Business	\$6,772,128	\$140,000	\$89,895	\$60,000	\$7,062,023	\$5,638,985	1,08.
Education & Allied Professions	\$5,957,895	\$260,000	\$628,026	\$457,750	\$7,303,671	\$8,582,768	1.18/1
Fine & Performing Arts	\$3,692,536	\$250,000	\$24,000	\$121,750	\$4,088,286	\$3,381,023	.83/1
Health & Human Sciences	\$6,605,883	\$180,000	\$371,231	\$228,000	\$7,385,114	\$9,159,095	1.24/1
Kimmel School	\$2,905,081	\$40,000	\$39,000	\$104,000	\$3,088,081	\$2,094,459	.68/1
Total for Colleges	\$36,755,988	\$1,202,000	\$1,255,567	\$1,604,202	\$40,817,757	\$41,426,781	1.01/1
Other Assigned					\$2,049,398		
Other Unassigned					\$101.123		
Additional Distance Ed					-\$255,567		
Other Assigned Graduate Assistant	ssistant				\$395,798		
WCU Overall (Total Instructional Salary Allocation to WCU in 08-09)	tional Salary Allo	ocation to WC	(60-80 ui n	•	\$43,108,509		

*FTE and salary earned in 07-08, allocated in 08-09. (Applying only 1-year earned at this time.) Allocations may not represent actual expenditures. **Distance Education reported allocations exceeding \$1,000,000 budget; Graduate School reported allocations below \$2,000,000 budget (GA allocations represent final allocations to colleges, after awards adjusted across colleges)