Faculty Senate Routing Form (action/proposal attached)

I. Item	Title: Training for Department Heads on Issues Regarding Student Course Survey Listings
	a) Brief Description: Department Head Training will be updated to cover course section building and its relation to Student Course Survey (SCS) responses for instructors as well as how to indicate changes to SCS designations to assure that faculty only receive SCS feedback from students they have contact with for a given course.
	b) Initiated by:Faculty Affairs Council
II. Fac	ulty Senate Action: approved not approved other date:4/19/2023
	Comments:
	Vote: Voice Vote, Unanimous Voice Vote, Majority In Favor Hand Vote: 27Ayes 2Nays Abstentions Paper Ballot Vote: Ayes Nays Abstentions
	FS Secretary signature: date _4/21/2023
III. Pro	vost: for information Recognition of Receipt not approved not approved
	Comments:
	Person responsible for Implementation: DR, Schwab
	Provost signature: date 3(4) 23
IV. Ch	ancellor:
	Comments:
	Chancellor signature Helli R. R. date 4-21-2023
Once fin	alized copies will be returned to the Provost Office's representative who will scan and distribute electronically to: Chancellor Provost Chair of Faculty Senate Secretary of the Faculty Senate Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs (for catalog and handbook) Associate Dean of Graduate School (for catalog) Others (i.e. person responsible for implementation)

FAC Resolution - Training for Department Heads on Issues Regarding Student Course Survey Listings

- Whereas one of the purposes of the Student Course Survey (SCS) is to use such feedback as one source, among multiple sources, to provide formative feedback on aspects of Organization and Clarity, Course Environment and Rapport, and Overall Satisfaction; and
- Whereas SCS results are required forms of evidence in the evaluation of a faculty member's teaching (Faculty Handbook Section 4.05 B, Evaluation of Teaching); and
- Whereas ongoing issues related to the matching of course sections to faculty and of faculty to students were brought to the attention of the Faculty Affairs Council (FAC) during their process of updating the Student Course Survey (SCS) Procedural Manual; and
- Whereas some faculty assigned to a course with multiple responsible faculty (typically, but not limited to, clinical nursing and teaching internship courses) have never received SCS results for their course; and
- Whereas course section building in Banner determines which courses and/or course sections will initially be set up to share a single course evaluation; and
- Whereas students in courses with multiple responsible faculty will be presented with a list of the associated faculty for their course and asked to select the faculty with whom they have had contact or experience for the course, and the faculty they select are the ones who will receive individualized SCS responses for the course; and
- Whereas the Office of Institutional Planning and Effectiveness (OIPE) conducts a validation exercise by contacting department heads after the census date to verify course instructor(s) and respond with any feedback, questions or corrections within four weeks so OIPE has the time to build the SCS and have it ready on time and as correct as possible; and
- Whereas department heads are responsible for course section building in Banner as well as for validating initial course instructor assignments, yet they may not have received training regarding the connection between course section building, Student Course Surveys, and faculty access to SCS responses from students they teach or supervise.
- **Be it resolved** that Department Head Training will be updated to cover course section building and its relation to Student Course Survey (SCS) responses for instructors as well as how to indicate changes to SCS designations to assure that faculty only receive SCS feedback from students they have contact with for a given course.