

Western Carolina Regional Science Fair 2009

Judging Rubric

	<i>Poor (0-5)</i>	<i>Fair (6-10)</i>	<i>Good (11-16)</i>	<i>Outstanding (17-20)</i>
Originality (20pts)	Highly derivative	Some originality	Very imaginative	Strikingly original, highly imaginative
Idea/hypothesis (20pts)	Missing, not clearly stated, or not testable	Clearly stated but inappropriate	Question well formed and testable	Rigorous, testable and highly original question
Experimental design/ methodology (20pts)	Poor or unexplained	Explained, but flawed logic	Very clear, well designed but process not well understood	Exceptional and original design, demonstrates clear understanding of process
Data collection/ Presentation (20pts)	Data not collected or presented	Partial data presented but unclear	Appropriate data, clearly presented	Data clearly addresses question, exceptional presentation.
	<i>Poor (0-2)</i>	<i>Fair (3-5)</i>	<i>Good (6-7)</i>	<i>Outstanding (8-10)</i>
Conclusion/ Application (10pts)	None or inappropriate conclusion	Conclusion presented but unclear or inaccurate	Logical conclusion well supported by data	Conclusion well supported with strong conceptual links and novel application
Poster presentation (10pts)	Poorly designed and constructed	Some design but not easy to follow	Well designed, easy to follow.	Excellent design, attractive, good flow well organized